

**IN THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT**  
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND  
ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

AIZAWL BENCH

**WP(C) No. 42 of 2013**

PETITIONERS :

1. R. Laldinthara,  
S/o R. Chalsela,  
R/o Lungsen Power Sub-Division,  
Lungsen, Lunglei District,  
Mizoram.

2. Shri Lalfakzuala,  
S/o Biakmuana,  
R/o New Saiha,  
Saiha District, Mizoram.

By Advocates :

1. Mr. Ricky Gurung,  
2. Ms. Vanlalchhuanpuii.

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Mizoram, Represented by  
the Secretary & Commissioner to the  
Govt. of Mizoram, Power & Electricity  
Department, Aizawl.

2. Secretary to the Govt. of  
Mizoram, Finance Department,  
Aizawl.

3. Secretary to the Govt. of  
Mizoram, Department of Personnel &  
Administrative Reforms, Aizawl.

4. Engineer-in-Chief,  
Power & Electricity Department,  
Government of Mizoram, Aizawl.

By Advocate:

Mr. Lalsawirema, G.A., Mizoram.

**BEFORE  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE L.S. JAMIR**

Date of hearing : 02.08.2013

Date of judgment and order : 02.08.2013.

**JUDGMENT AND ORDER (ORAL)**

Heard Ms. Vanlalchhuanpui, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners as well as Mr. Lalsawirema, learned Government Advocate appearing for the State respondents.

2. The petitioner Nos. 1 and 2 are appointed as Junior Engineer on Muster Roll basis under the Power & Electricity Department, Govt. of Mizoram as Group 'B' employees and they have been working w.e.f. 10.04.1996 and 03.05.1999 respectively. Both the petitioners have rendered service for about 13 years and 16 years respectively. The petitioners have the requisite educational qualification and have also

successfully completed 3 years Diploma Course in Electrical from a recognised Government Institute.

3. The Government of Mizoram has adopted the scheme of regularization of Muster Roll employees by notification dated 23.03.2000 for Group 'C' and Group 'D' employees. However, for the Group 'B' employees, no scheme for regularization has been adopted till today.

4. However, as no action has been taken by the respondent Government to consider the case of the petitioners for regularization of their services till date, they have approached this Court for redressal of their grievances.

5. The State respondents have filed contra affidavit contesting the writ petition.

6. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioners that similar matters have been disposed of by this Court by judgment and order dated 18.01.2013 in WP(C) No. 3/2013 and 21.02.2013 in WP(C) No. 15/2013. He, therefore, submits that the present case is also fully

covered by the aforesaid two orders of this Court. Mr. Lalsawirema, learned Government Advocate fairly submits that the present case is also covered by the aforesaid two orders.

7. In the facts and circumstances of the case and as consented to by the learned counsel for both the parties, this writ petition is accordingly disposed of with a direction to the petitioners to file separate representations before the respondent No. 1 within a period of 2 (two) weeks from today.

The respondent No. 1 on receipt of the representation so filed by the petitioners shall consider the grievances of the petitioners relating to regularization of their service and dispose of the representation by a speaking order within a period of 3 (three) months from the date of receipt of the representations filed by the petitioners.

8. While considering the case of the petitioners, respondent No. 1 shall give reasonable opportunity of hearing to the petitioners and, thereafter, pass appropriate order(s) as directed herein above.

9. With the above observations and the acts, this writ petition stands disposed of.

10. No cost.

**JUDGE**

**Mahruaii**